ISSN 2278-3091

International Journal of Advanced Trends in Computer Science and Engineering, Vol.3 , No.5, Pages : 126-131 (2014)
Special Issue of ICACSSE 2014 - Held on October 10, 2014 in St.Ann's College of Engineering & Technology, Chirala, Andhra Pradesh

A Person and Proclamation identification by using Local Directional Number Pattern

G Ganeshbabu[1] Y Sowjanya Kumari[2]

[1] M.Tech Student, Dept of CSE, St. Ann's College of Engineering Technology, Chirala, PrakasamDist, A.P, India
[2] Associate Professor, Dept of CSE, St. Ann's College of Engineering Technology, Chirala, PrakasamDist, A.P, India

Abstract:This paper proposes a unique native feature descriptor,local directional range pattern (LDN), for face analysis, i.e.,face and expression recognition. LDN encodes the directionalinformation of the face's textures (i.e., the texture's structure) in a verycompact manner, manufacturing a lot of discriminative code than current methods. We tend to cypher the structure of every micro-pattern withthe aid of a compass mask that extracts directional info,and we write such info mistreatment the distinguished directionindices (directional numbers) and sign—which permits U.S.A. todistinguish among similar structural patterns that have totally different intensity transitions. We tend to divide the face into many regions, andextract the distribution of the LDN options from them. Then,we concatenate these options into a feature vector, and we use it as a face descriptor. We tend to perform many experiments during whichour descriptor performs systematically underneath illumination, noise,expression, and time lapse variations. Moreover, we tend to take a look at ourdescriptor with totally different masks to research its performance indifferent face analysis tasks.

Keywords:texture,cipher,noise,feature vector.

INTRODUCTION:

IN FACE analysis, a key issue is that the descriptor of theface look [1], [2]. The potency of the descriptordepends on its illustration and also the easy extracting it fromthe face. Ideally, a decent descriptor ought to have a high varianceamong categories (between totally different persons or expressions), butlittle or no variation at intervals categories (same person or expressionin different conditions). These descriptors are utilized in manyareas, such as, face expression and face recognition.There are 2 common approaches to extract facial features:geometric-feature-based and appearance-based strategies [3].The former [4], [5] encodes the form and locations ofdifferent facial parts that are combined into a featurevector that represents the face. Associate instance of those methods are the graph-based strategies [6]–[10], which useseveral facial parts to make a illustration of the faceand method it. Moreover, the Local-Global Graph algorithmic rule[6]–[8] is a noteworthy approach that uses Voronoi tessellation and Delaunay graphs to section native options and builds a graph for face and expression recognition. These options are mixed into area unita|a neighborhood graph, and so the algorithmic rule createsan skeleton (global graph) by interrelating the native graphsto represent the topology of the face. What is more, facialfeatures square measure wide utilized in expression recognition, as thepioneer work of Ekman and Friesen [11] distinctive sixbasic emotions created a system to categories the expressions,known as Facial Action writing [12], and laterit was simplified to the Emotional Facial Action cryptographySystem [13]. However, the geometric-feature-based strategiesusually need correct and reliable facial feature detectionand trailing, that is tough to accommodate in severalsituations. The appearance-based strategies [14], [15] use imagefilters, either on the whole-face, to form holistic options, orsome specific face-region, to form native options, to extractthe appearance changes within the face image. The performanceof the appearance-based strategies is great in unnaturalenvironment however their performance degrade in surroundingvariation [16].In the literature, there square measure several strategies for the holisticclass, such as, Eigenfaces [17] and Fisherfaces [18], whichare engineered on Principal element Analysis (PCA) [17]; themore recent 2nd PCA [19], and Linear Discriminant

Analysis[20] also are samples of holistic strategies. Though thesemethods are studied wide, native descriptors havegained attention thanks to their strength to illuminationand cause variations. Heisele et al. showed the validity of thecomponent-based strategies, and the way they crush holisticmethods [21]. The local-feature strategies figure the descriptorfrom elements of the face, and so gather the knowledgeinto one descriptor. Among these strategies square measure native optionsAnalysis [22], physicist options [23], Elastic Bunch GraphMatching [24], and native Binary Pattern (LBP) [14], [25]. Thelast one is associate extension of the LBP feature, that was originallydesigned for texture description [26], applied to face recognition.LBP achieved higher performance than previous strategies,thus it gained quality, and was studied extensively. Newermethods tried to beat the shortcomings of LBP, like LocalTernary Pattern (LTP) [27], and native Directional Pattern(LDiP) [28]–[30]. The last technique encodes the directionalinformation within the neighborhood, rather than the intensity. Also,Zhang et al. [31], [32] explored the employment of upper orderlocal derivatives (LDeP) to provide higher results than LBP. In the literature, there are several ways for the holisticclass, such as, Eigenfaces [17] and Fisherfaces [18], whichare designed on Principal part Analysis (PCA) [17]; themore recent second PCA [19], and Linear Discriminant Analysis[20] also are samples of holistic ways. Though thesemethods are studied wide, native descriptors havegained attention due to their hardiness to illuminationand create variations. Heisele et al. showed the validity of thecomponent-based ways, and the way they shell holisticmethods [21]. The local-feature ways cypher the descriptorfrom components of the face, so gather the knowledgeinto one descriptor. Among these ways are native optionsAnalysis [22], Dennis Gabor options [23], Elastic Bunch GraphMatching [24], and native Binary Pattern (LBP) [14], [25]. Thelast one is Associate in Nursing extension of the LBP feature, that was originallydesigned for texture description [26], applied to face recognition.LBP achieved higher performance than previous ways,thus it gained quality, and was studied extensively. Newermethods tried to beat the shortcomings of LBP, like LocalTernary Pattern (LTP) [27], and native Directional Pattern(LDiP) [28]–[30]. The last methodology encodes the directionalinformation within the neighborhood, rather than the intensity. Also,Zhang et al. [31], [32] explored the utilization of upper orderlocal derivatives (LDeP) to provide higher results than LBP.Both ways use alternative data, rather than intensity, toovercome noise and illumination variation issues. However,Both strategies use alternative data, rather than intensity, toovercome noise and illumination variation issues. However,these ways still suffer in non-monotonic illumination variation,random noise, and changes in cause, age, and expression conditions. Though some ways, like Gradient faces [33],have a high discrimination power underneath illumination variation,they still have low recognition capabilities for expression andage variation conditions. However, some ways exploreddifferent options, such as, infrared [34], close to infrared [32],and section data [35], [36], to beat the illuminationproblem whereas maintaining the performance underneath toughconditions.In this paper, we have a tendency to propose a face descriptor, native DirectionalNumber Pattern (LDN), for sturdy face recognition thatencodes the structural data and therefore the intensity variationsof the face's texture. LDN encodes the structure of an areaneighborhood by analyzing its directional data. Consequently,we cipher the sting responses within the neighborhood,in eight totally different directions with a compass mask. Then, fromall the directions, we elect the highest positive and negativedirections to supply a significant descriptor for varioustextures with similar structural patterns. This approach permitsus to tell apart intensity changes (e.g., from bright to darkand vice versa) within the texture, that otherwise are going to be missed what is more, our descriptor uses the knowledgeof the whole neighborhood, rather than victimization distributed points forits computation like LBP. Hence, our approach conveys additionalinformation into the code.

EXISTING SYSTEM:

In the literature, there square measure several strategies for the holistic category, such as, Eigenfaces and Fisherfaces, that square measure engineered on Principal part Analysis (PCA); the more modern 2nd PCA, and Linear Discriminant Analysis also are samples of holistic strategies. though these strategies are studied wide, native descriptors have gained attention owing to their lustiness to illumination and create variations.

Heiseleet al.showed the validity of the component-based strategies, and the way they outgo holistic strategies. The local-feature strategies work out the descriptor from elements of the face, then gather the knowledge into one descriptor. Among these strategies square measure native options Analysis, Gabor options, Elastic Bunch Graph Matching, and native Binary Pattern (LBP). The last one is associate extension of the LBP feature that was originally designed for texture description, applied to face recognition. LBP achieved higher performance than previous strategies, so it gained quality, and was studied extensively. Newer strategies tried to beat the shortcomings of LBP, like native Ternary Pattern (LTP), and native Directional Pattern (LDiP). The last methodology encodes the directional info within the neighborhood, rather than the intensity. Also, Zhanget al. explored the employment of upper order native derivatives (LDeP) to supply higher results than LBP. each strategies use alternative info, rather than intensity, to beat noise and illumination variation issues. However, these strategies still suffer in non-monotonic illumination variation, random noise, and changes in create, age, and expression conditions. though some strategies, like Gradientfaces, have a high discrimination power beneath illumination variation, they still have low recognition capabilities for expression and age variation conditions. However, some strategies explored completely different options, such as, infrared, close to infrared, and section info, to beat the illumination drawback whereas maintaining the performance beneath tough conditions.

DISADVANTAGES OF EXISTING SYSTEM:

- Both methods use other information, instead of intensity, to overcome noise and illumination variation problems.
- However,these methods still suffer in non-monotonic illumination variation, random noise, and changes in pose, age, and expression conditions.
- Although some methods, like Gradientfaces, have a high discrimination power under illumination variation, they still have low recognition capabilities for expression and age variation conditions.

PROPOSED SYSTEM:

In this paper, we tend to propose a face descriptor, native Directional variety Pattern (LDN), for sturdy face recognition that encodes the structural info and also the intensity variations of the face's texture. LDN encodes the structure of a neighborhood by analyzing its directional info. Consequently, we tend to cipher the sting responses within the neighborhood, in eight completely different directions with a compass mask. Then, from all the directions, we elect the highest positive and negative directions to provide a pregnant descriptor for various textures with similar structural patterns. This approach permits U.S. to tell apart intensity changes (e.g., from bright to dark and vice versa) within the texture. moreover, our descriptor uses the data of the complete neighborhood, rather than victimization distributed points for its computation like LBP. Hence, our approach conveys additional info into the code, however it's additional compact—as it's six bit long. Moreover, we tend to experiment with completely different masks and resolutions of the mask to accumulate characteristics which will be neglected by only one, and mix them to increase the encoded info. We tend to found that the inclusion of multiple encryption levels produces associate improvement within the detection method.

ADVANTAGES OF PROPOSED SYSTEM:

- The coding scheme is based on directional numbers, instead of bit strings, which encodes the information of the neighborhood in a more efficient way
- The implicit use of sign information, in comparison with previous directional and derivative methods we encode more information in less space, and, at the same time, discriminate more textures; and
- The use of gradient information makes the method robust against illumination changes and noise.

ISSN 2278-3091

International Journal of Advanced Trends in Computer Science and Engineering, Vol.3 , No.5, Pages : 126-131 (2014)
Special Issue of ICACSSE 2014 - Held on October 10, 2014 in St.Ann's College of Engineering & Technology, Chirala, Andhra Pradesh

LOCAL DIRECTIONAL NUMBER PATTERN

The planned native Directional range Pattern (LDN) isa six bit code appointed to every pixel of associate inputimage that represents the structure of the feel and itsintensity transitions. As previous analysis [37], [38] showed,edge magnitudes square measure for the most part insensitive to lighting changes.Consequently, we have a tendency to produce our pattern by computing the stingresponse of the neighborhood employing a compass mask, and bytaking the highest directional numbers, that is, the foremost positiveand negative directions of these edge responses. we have a tendency to illustrate this secret writing theme. The positive and negativeresponses offer valuable info of the structure ofthe neighborhood, as they reveal the gradient direction ofbright and dark areas within the neighborhood. Thereby, thisdistinction, between dark and bright responses, permits LDN todifferentiate between blocks with the positive and therefore the negativedirection swapped (which is admire swap the intense andthe dark areas of the neighborhood, as shown within the middleby generating a special code for every instance,while alternative ways might mistake the swapped regions as one. What is more, these transitions occur usually within the face,for example, the highest and bottom edges of the eyebrows andmouth have completely different intensity transitions. Thus, it's necessaryto differentiate among them; LDN will accomplish this task asit assigns a particular code to every of them.

Fig:1 False detected faces

DIFFERENCE WITH PREVIOUS WORK

Current ways have many shortcomings. As an example, LBP [25] encodes the native neighborhood intensity by victimization the center component as a threshold for a distributed sample of the neighborring pixels. The few variety of pixels utilized in this method introduce many issues. First, it limits the accuracy of the tactic. Second, the tactic discards most of the information within the neighborhood. Finally, it makes the tactic very sensitive to noise. Moreover, these drawbacks square measure additional evident for larger neighborhoods'. Consequently, to avoid suchproblems additional info from the neighborhood may be used, as different ways .Although the use of additional info makes these ways additional stable, they still write in code the knowledge during a similar means as LBP: by marking sure characteristics during a bit string. And despite thissimplicity of the bit string writing strategy, it discards most information of the neighborhood. As an example, the directional ways miss some directional info (the responses' sign) by treating all directions equally. Also, they\'re sensitive to illumination changes and noise, because the bits within the code can flip and the code can represent a completely totally different characteristic. Toavoid these issues, we have a tendency to investigate a brand new writing theme,that implicitly uses that the sign of the directional numbers to increase the encoded structural info, with 2 totally different masks: a derivative-Gaussian (to avoid the noise perturbation, and to form our technique sturdy to illumination changes, as previous ways showed [33]) and a brandy compass mask scenarios, whereas LDP [28] produces a similar code (note that LDP can have the same result). Thus, the employment of the directional numbers produces a additional sturdy code than a straightforward bit string. Moreover, the employment of principal directions is also similar to a weighted writing theme, within the sense that not all directions have a similar importance. In distinction, previous weighting ways [34] treat the code (again) as a little string, picking all the knowledge of the neighborhood, and weight only the inclusion of every code into the descriptor. However, we (equally) use the 2 principal directional numbers of every neighborhood (and code them into one number) rather than assigning weights to them. Consequently, we have a tendency to decide the

ISSN 2278-3091
International Journal of Advanced Trends in Computer Science and Engineering, Vol.3 , No.5, Pages : 126-131 (2014)
Special Issue of ICACSSE 2014 - Held on October 10, 2014 in St.Ann's College of Engineering & Technology, Chirala, Andhra Pradesh

outstanding information of every pixel's neighborhood. Therefore, our technique filters and offers additional importance to the native information before writing it, whereas different ways weight the grouped (coded) info.In summary, the key points of our planned technique are: 1)the writing theme relies on directional numbers, instead of bit strings, that encodes the knowledge of the neighborhoodin a additional economical way; 2) the implicit use of sign info, in comparison with previous directional and by-product wayswe write in code additional info in less area, and, at a similar time, discriminate additional textures; and 3) the employment of gradient information makes the tactic sturdy against illumination changes and noise.

CONCLUSION:

In this paper we have a tendency to introduced a completely unique cryptography theme,LDN, that takes advantage of the structure of the face'stextures which encodes it expeditiously into a compact code. LDN uses directional info that's additional stable againstnoise than intensity, to code the various patterns from theface's textures. to boot, we have a tendency to analyzed the employment of 2different compass masks (a derivative-Gaussian and Kirsch)to extract this directional info, and their performanceon completely different applications. In general, LDN, implicitly, uses thesign info of the directional numbers that permits it todistinguish similar texture's structures with completely different intensitytransitions—e.g., from dark to bright and the other way around.We found that the derivative-Gaussian mask is additional stableagainst noise and illumination variation within the face recognitionproblem,that makes LDNG a reliable and stable committal to writingscheme for person identification. Moreover, we have a tendency to found thatthe use of brandy mask makes the code appropriate for expressionrecognition, because the LDNK code is additional strong to discoverstructural expression options than options for identification.Moreover, we have a tendency to projected a face descriptor that mixes theinformation from many neighborhoods' at completely different sizes toencode small patterns at those levels. Consequently, LDNrecovers additional info, and uses it to extend its discriminating power. Moreover, we have a tendency to found that the mixof different sizes (small, medium and large) offers higherRAMIREZ Diego Rivera et al.: LDN PATTERN FOR FACE ANALYSIS 1751recognition rates for sure conditions. as an example, thecombination of five × five, 7 ×7, and nine × nine neighborhoods', in theLDNG code, yields higher results for expression and time lapsevariation, in general. And for noise intense environments massiveneighborhood's sizes perform higher than different mixtures,and that in such environments the brandy mask performs aswell because the derivative-Gaussian mask.Also, we have a tendency to evaluated LDN below expression, time lapse andillumination variations, and located that it\'s reliable and strongthroughout of these conditions, in contrast to different ways. Forexample, Gradientfaces had wonderful results below illuminationvariation however failing with expression and time lapsevariation. Also, LBP and LDiP recognition rate deteriorate faster than LDN in presence of noise and illumination changes.

REFERENCES

[1] R. Chellappa, C. Wilson, and S. Sirohey, "Human and machine recognitionof faces: A survey," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 83, no. 5, pp. 705–741, May 1995.

[2] W. Zhao, R. Chellappa, P. J. Phillips, and A. Rosenfeld, "Face recognition:A literature survey," *ACM Comput. Surv.*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 399–458, Dec. 2003.

[3] S. Z. Li, A. K. Jain, Y. L. Tian, T. Kanade, and J. F. Cohn, "Facialexpression analysis," in *Handbook of Face Recognition*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 2005, pp. 247–275.

[4] H. Hong, H. Neven, and C. von der Malsburg, "Online facial expressionrecognition based on personalized galleries," in *Proc. 3rd IEEE Int. Conf.Autom. Face Gesture Recognit.*, Apr. 1998, pp. 354–359.

[5] I. Kotsia and I. Pitas, "Facial expression recognition in image sequencesusing geometric deformation features and support vector machines," *IEEE Trans. Image Process.*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 172–187, Jan. 2007.

ISSN 2278-3091

International Journal of Advanced Trends in Computer Science and Engineering, Vol.3 , No.5, Pages : 126-131 (2014)

Special Issue of ICACSSE 2014 - Held on October 10, 2014 in St.Ann's College of Engineering & Technology, Chirala, Andhra Pradesh

[6] N. G. Bourbakis and P. Kakumanu, "Skin-based face detection-extractionand recognition of facial expressions," in *Applied Pattern Recognition*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 2008, pp. 3–27.

[7] N. Bourbakis, A. Esposito, and D. Kavraki, "Extracting and associatingmeta-features for understanding people's emotional behaviour: Face andspeech," *Cognit. Comput.*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 436–448, 2011.

[8] P. Kakumanu and N. Bourbakis, "A local-global graph approach forfacial expression recognition," in *Proc. 18th IEEE Int. Conf. Tools Artif.Intell.*, Nov. 2006, pp. 685–692.

[9] A. Cheddad, D. Mohamad, and A. A. Manaf, "Exploiting voronoidiagram properties in face segmentation and feature extraction," *Pattern Recognit.*, vol. 41, no. 12, pp. 3842–3859, 2008.

[10] X. Xie and K.-M. Lam, "Facial expression recognition based on shapeand texture," *Pattern Recognit.*, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 1003–1011, 2009.

[11] P. Ekman, *Emotions Revealed: Recognizing Faces and Feelings toImprove Communication and Emotional Life*. New York: Times Books, 2003.

[12] P. Ekman and W. Friesen, *Facial Action Coding System: A Techniquefor the Measurement of Facial Movement*. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press, 1978.

[13] W. V. Friesen and P. Ekman, "EMFACS-7: Emotional facial actioncoding system," unpublished, 1983.

[14] C. Shan, S. Gong, and P. W. McOwan, "Facial expression recognitionbased on local binary patterns: A comprehensive study," *Image Vis.Comput.*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 803–816, 2009.

[15] Y. L. Tian, "Evaluation of face resolution for expression analysis," in*Proc. Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit. Workshop*, Jun. 2004, p. 82.

[16] M. Pantic and L. J. M. Rothkrantz, "Automatic analysis of facialexpressions: The state of the art," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach.Intell.*, vol. 22, no. 12, pp. 1424–1445, Dec. 2000.

[17] M. Turk and A. Pentland, "Eigenfaces for recognition," *J. Cognit.Neurosci.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 71–86, 1991.

[18] P. N. Belhumeur, J. P. Hespanha, and D. J. Kriegman, "Eigenfaces vs.Fisherfaces: Recognition using class specific linear projection," *IEEETrans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, vol. 19, no. 7, pp. 711–720, Jul. 1997.

[19] J. Yang, D. Zhang, A. F. Frangi, and J. Y. Yang, "Two-dimensionalPCA: A new approach to appearance-based face representation and recognition," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, vol. 26, no. 1,pp. 131–137, Jan. 2004.

[20] K. Etemad and R. Chellappa, "Discriminant analysis for recognition ofhuman face images," *J. Opt. Soc. Amer. A*, vol. 14, no. 8, pp. 1724–1733, 1997.

AUTHORS:

G. Ganeshbabu receivedB.techdegree from chirala engineering collegewhich is affiliated to JNTU Kakinada.Currently he is pursuing M.tech inSt.Ann's college of engineering andtechnology which is affiliated to JNTUK Kakinada.

Y. SowjanyaKumari presently working as Associate Professor, Dept of computer science & Engineering at St.Ann's College of Engineering . She guided many UG and PG students. She has more than 11 years of teaching experience. She received her B.tech degree from NBKRIST,vidyanagar, india in 2002. She received her M.tech degree from JNTU Kakinada in 2004..